

Selection Procedure for Consultants with Continuing Contracts

Division: R&D

Project Manager: D GABLE

Step 1:

Review list for appropriate Expertise, Experience, and Personnel (List all consultants awarded a master agreement under the RFP)

Consultant	Expertise	Experience	Personnel	Local (Y/N)	Elevated for Consideration (Y/N)
AECOM	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
AIM	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
B&N	N	N	N	N	N
CONSOR	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
DEWBERRY	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
DRMP	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
HDR	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
HNTB	N	N	N	Y	N
JACOBS	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
KIMLEY-HORN	N	N	N	Y	N
KCA	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
MOTT	N	N	N	N	N
NV5	N	N	N	N	N
PGA	N	N	N	Y	N
RKK	N	N	N	Y	N
WSP USA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
WSP	Y	Y	Y	N	Y

State justification for each firm not elevated and why:

B&N, HNTB, KIMLEY-HORN, MOTT MACDONALD, NV5, PGA, RKK do not offer CEI services. The primary focus of these firms is design services.

Step 2:

Past performance on similar projects satisfactory (List all consultants elevated from Step 1)

Consultant	Has past performance been satisfactory (Y/N)	Elevated for Consideration(Y/N)
AECOM	Y	Y
AIM	Y	Y
CONSOR	Y	Y
DEWBERRY	Y	Y
DRMP	Y	Y
HDR	Y	Y
JACOBS	Y	Y
KCA	Y	Y
WSP USA	Y	Y
WSP	Y	Y

State justification for each firm not elevated and why:

Step 3:

Total amount of money the County has contracted with each Consultant elevated to Step 2 during the last 24 months.

Consultant	Contracted Amount	Elevated (Y/N)
AECOM	\$ 723,149.04	N
AIM	\$ 691,043.55	N
CONSOR	\$ 542,263.76	N
DEWBERRY	\$ 203,582.32	N
DRMP	\$ 313,355.25	N
HDR	\$ 567,194.67	N
JACOBS	\$ 495,625.26	N
KCA	\$ 501,531.12	N
WSP USA	\$ 279,519.42	N
WSP	\$ 319,023.41	Y

Step 4:

State justification, if the chosen consultant does not have the least amount of contract obligation.

Dewberry is assigned to other projects and the CSA's are still being processed.