## **Demonstration of Need**

<u>POLICY 2.102-A11: URBAN SPRAWL CRITERIA</u> - In accordance with Rule 9J-5.006(5) of the Florida Administrative Code, Polk County will discourage the proliferation of urban sprawl by use of the following criteria when determining the appropriateness of establishing or expanding any land use or development area. The analysis must ask whether or not the proposed plan amendment:

- a. Promotes, allows, or designates for development substantial areas of the jurisdiction to develop as low-intensity, low-density, or single-use development or uses in excess of demonstrated need.
  - ANALYSIS: The Proposed Request is a land use change to support existing residents. The Applicant has submitted data demonstrating that the minimum population support within a one (1) mile radius of the Subject Property is 5,899 and within a two (2) mile radius of the Subject Property is 15,896. [See Population Support Map]. Such data demonstrates that the Proposed Request will not be in excess of demonstrated need and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
- b. Promotes, allows, or designates significant amounts of urban development to occur in rural areas at substantial distances from existing urban areas while leaping over undeveloped lands which are available and suitable for development.
  - ANALYSIS: The Proposed Request allows for the development of a corner lot that has failed to develop consistently with the surrounding neighborhood. In addition, the Proposed Request will alleviate concerns of leapfrog development patterns by encouraging the use of a vacant parcel.
- c. Promotes, allows or designates urban development in radial, strip isolated or ribbon patterns generally emanating from existing urban developments.
  - **ANALYSIS:** The Proposed Request does not promote radial, strip isolated or ribbon patterns. [See Poinciana Master Plan; see also Polk County Future Land Use Map].
- d. As a result of premature or poorly planned conversion of rural land to other uses, fails to adequately protect and conserve natural resources, such as, wetlands, floodplains, native vegetation, environmentally sensitive areas, natural shorelines, beaches, bays, estuarine systems, and other significant natural systems.
  - ANALYSIS: The Subject Property is located in an area with existing residential development. In addition, the Subject Property does not appear to have any wetlands and is located outside of the 100-year flood zone in Zone "X". [See General Location Map; see Wetlands Map; see FEMA Map].

e. Fails to adequately protect adjacent agricultural areas and activities including silviculture and active agricultural and silvicultural activities as well as passive agricultural activities and dormant, unique and prime farmlands and soils.

**ANALYSIS:** *The Subject Property does not adversely impact agriculture.* 

f. Fails to maximize use of existing public facilities and services.

ANALYSIS: The Subject Property is located in the Toho Water Authority Utility Service Area. The Applicant will be required to coordinate with the Toho Water Authority for the provision of centralized sewer and water.

g. Fails to minimize the use of future public facilities and services.

**ANALYSIS:** The Subject Property is located in the Toho Water Authority Utility Service Area. The Applicant will be required to coordinate with the Toho Water Authority for the provision of centralized sewer and water.

h. Allows for land use patterns or timing which will disproportionately increase the cost in time, money and energy, of providing public facilities and services including roads, potable water, sanitary sewer, stormwater management, law enforcement education health care, fire and emergency response, and general government.

ANALYSIS: There are no anticipated offsite improvements required for the development of the Subject Property. The Applicant will conduct a traffic study prior to site plan approval. In addition, there are no anticipated negative impacts on public facilities in general.

i. Fails to provide a clear separation between urban and rural uses.

**ANALYSIS:** The Subject Property is not located adjacent to rural uses. Notably, the Subject Property is located in an area that has predominantly been developed as platted and master planned residential communities.

j. Discourages or inhibits in-fill development or redevelopment of existing neighborhoods and communities.

ANALYSIS: The Subject Property is an undeveloped lot located at the intersection of two urban collector roads. As such, the Proposed Request does not discourage in-fill development or redevelopment.

k. Fails to encourage an attractive and functional mix of land uses.

<u>ANALYSIS:</u> Disrupting the pattern of single-use development will ultimately provide current and future residential developments in the area with access to the

services typical of a CC district, while creating an attractive, functional mix of uses and avoiding sprawl.

1. Results in poor accessibility among linked or related land uses.

ANALYSIS: The Subject Property is consistent with the locational requirements of a CC district contained in the Polk Comprehensive Plan. In addition, the location of the Subject Property at the intersection of two urban collector roads makes the location accessible to surrounding residential communities.

m. Results in the loss of a significant amount of functional open space.

**ANALYSIS:** The Subject Property's location at the intersection of two urban collector roads is not a prime location for open space.