



Consultant Services Authorization

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Firm | NV5 |
| Master Agreement No. | 22-093 |
| CSA No. | 22-093-03 |
| Project Name | W Daughtery Rd Sidewalk |
| Project Description | New Sidewalk |
| Projects Exhibits and Attachments | Exhibit "A"- Scope of Services Exhibit "B"-Fee Schedule (Master Agreement) Exhibit "C"-Reimbursable Cost Schedule Exhibit "D"-Insurance Documents |
| Duration (in days) | Completion of design |
| Compensation | Total Cost Not to Exceed: \$ 189,701.43 |
| Special Contract Conditions | NONE |
| Insurance Requirements | Professional Liability |
| Liquidated Damages | \$ 0 Per Day |
| Budget Source/Availability | 14971.540152101.5663400.5400012 |

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this CSA on this ___ day of _____, 20__.

Attest:
STACY M. BUTTERFIELD

POLK COUNTY, a Political subdivision
of the State of Florida

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

By: _____
Chairperson
Board of County Commissioners

Date Approved by Board: _____

Review as to form and legal sufficiency
[Signature] 2/14/2026
County Attorney's Office Date

Attest:

[Signature]

Corporate Secretary

NV5

CONSULTANT COMPANY NAME
[Signature]

Authorized Corporate Officer
Levis C. Koloko, P.E., Vice President

[Printed Name and Title]

SEAL

Date: 1/19/2026

Date: 01/14/2026

CONSULTANT APPROVAL FORM

CPO: If Consultant fee is under \$50,000 & construction is under \$250,000

CSA: If Construction is under \$7,500,000;

OR for study activity if consultant fee is under \$500,000- (FS 287.055 CCNA)

CPO/CSA #: 22-093-03 (Assigned by Procurement)

To be completed by the requesting Division:

Date: 1/29/2025 Division: Roads and Drainage

Project Manager's Name: Preston Warwick Phone #: 863-535-2230

Project Name: W Daughtery Rd Sidewalk

Total Project Budget: \$2,250,000 Project #5400012

Estimate of Construction Cost: _____

Proposed Consultant: NV5 Fee: \$ 189,701.43

Master Consultant Agreement # 22-093

Attach Scope of Services Proposed by the Consultant (Exhibit "A")

Approved By: [Signature] Date 2/2/26
Division Director/Designee

Procurement Division

Date Received: 1/30/26 Date Reviewed by Analyst: 2/3/26

Approved by: [Signature]
(Procurement Director/Designee)

County Attorney's Office (Required for all CSA's)

Date Received: 2/3/2026 Date Reviewed: 2/4/2026

Approved by: [Signature]
(County Attorney Office Signature)

County Manager's Office (Required if consultant fee is greater than \$100,000)

Date Received: _____ Date Reviewed: _____

Approved by: _____
(County Manager Office Signature)

Additional Attachments: number of days to complete project, not to exceed/lump sum amount, justification for consultant selected, fee schedule, and Professional Liability COI (COI applicable to CSA only, description field must be project specific (contract requirement)).

**Selection Procedure for Consultants with Continuing Contracts
CCNA Continuing Contracts Justification Form**

Please submit this form along with any Original CSA/CPO Consultant Approval Form

Division: Roads & Drainage
Project Manager: Preston Warwick, E.I.

Step 1:

Review list for appropriate Expertise, Experience, and Personnel (List all consultants awarded a master agreement under the RFP)

| Consultant | Expertise | Experience | Personnel | Local (Y/N) | Elevated for Consideration (Y/N) |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| AECOM | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| AIM Engineering & Survey | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Burgess and Niple | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |
| CONSOR Engineers | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Dewberry Engineers | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| DRMP | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| HDR Engineering | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |
| HNTB | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Jacobs Engineering Group | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |
| Kimley-Horn and Associates | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |
| Kissinger Campo & Associates | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |
| Mott MacDonald Florida | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |
| NV5 | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |
| Patel, Greene, and Associates | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Rummel, Klepper & Kahl | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| WSP USA | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |

State justification for each firm NOT elevated and why:

Step 2:

Past performance on similar projects satisfactory (List all consultants elevated from Step 1)

| Consultant | Has past performance been satisfactory (Y/N) | Elevated for Consideration(Y/N) |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| AECOM | Y | Y |
| AIM Engineering & Survey | Y | Y |
| Burgess and Niple | Y | Y |
| CONSOR Engineers | Y | Y |
| Dewberry Engineers | Y | Y |
| DRMP | Y | Y |
| HDR Engineering | Y | Y |
| HNTB | Y | Y |
| Jacobs Engineering Group | Y | Y |
| Kimley-Horn and Associates | Y | Y |
| Kissinger Campo & Associates | Y | Y |
| Mott MacDonald Florida | Y | Y |
| NV5 | Y | Y |
| Patel, Greene, and Associates | Y | Y |
| Rummel, Klepper & Kahl | Y | Y |
| WSP USA | Y | Y |

State justification for each firm NOT elevated and why:

Step 3:

Total amount of money the County has contracted with each Consultant elevated to Step 2 during the last 24 months.

| Consultant | Contracted Amount | Elevated (Y/N) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| AECOM | \$ 825,701.75 | N |
| AIM Engineering & Survey | \$ 288,191.68 | N |
| Burgess and Niple | \$ 509,441.00 | N |
| CONSOR Engineers | \$ 647,131.38 | N |
| Dewberry Engineers | \$ 281,826.32 | N |
| DRMP | \$ 310,811.25 | N |
| HDR Engineering | \$ 478,192.81 | N |
| HNTB | \$ 932,430.40 | N |
| Jacobs Engineering Group | \$ 39,720.51 | N |
| Kimley-Horn and Associates | \$ 377,193.00 | N |
| Kissinger Campo & Associates | \$ 433,418.24 | N |
| Mott MacDonald Florida | \$ 99,951.44 | N |
| NV5 | \$ 291,817.47 | Y |
| Patel, Greene, and Associates | \$ 521,122.46 | N |
| Rummel, Klepper & Kahl | \$ 587,193.82 | N |
| WSP USA | \$ 1,035,029.82 | N |

Step 4:

State justification, if the chosen consultant does not have the least amount of contract obligation.

The firms that have lower contracted amounts are in negotiations for other CSAs.

REQUEST FOR LEGAL SERVICES

TO: COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (AT01)

ATTENTION: Noah Milov
(CHECK ONE) Heather Bryan

FROM: Preston Warwick 863-535-2230 DATE: 1/29/26
(Name and Phone Number)

RETURN TO: Preston Warwick DIVISION: Roads and Drainage TR02

BOARD AGENDA DATE: COUNTY MANAGER ITEM:

PROJECT: W Daughtery Rd Sidewalk

CSA/CONTRACT NUMBER: 22-093-03

MODIFICATION NUMBER: CHANGE ORDER NUMBER:

TYPE OF AGREEMENT: CSA

NAME OF CONSULTANT/CONTRACTOR: NV5

Please indicate any time limits and attach all necessary documentation.

REQUEST IN DETAIL: This is for CSA approval for the design of a sidewalk on W Daughtery Rd from US 98 to Green St.

Please review attachments for the Board Agenda date indicated and return APPROVED documents at your earliest convenience. THANK YOU.

County Attorney

For CAO Use Only:

Assigned Staff:

Noah
2026-80

Log-In Date:

FEB 03 2026

CAO Project Number:

Log-Out Date:

2/4/2026

**EXHIBIT A
SCOPE OF SERVICES
DAUGHTERY RD W SIDEWALK
CSA #22-093-03**

I. DESCRIPTION

The purpose of the project is to provide the design and preparation of a complete set of construction plans and incidental engineering services, as necessary, for sidewalk improvements along W. Daughtery Road from US 98 to Green Road. The County's intention will be to provide a new 5' sidewalk along the existing Right-of-Way on the North side of W. Daughtery Rd for approximately 3,385 ft.

This Consultant Services Authorization (CSA) is required to perform the following services for design and construction:

- Roadway Design Services
- Drainage Design and Permitting Services
- Signing and Pavement Marking Design Services
- Utility Coordination Services
- Subsurface Utility Engineering
- Wetlands and Species Surveys
- Post Design Services

It is understood that this project will have its own set of plans.

II. SERVICES

Design Services – The design shall be developed in accordance with the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for Streets and Highways, (Florida Greenbook) Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) (2023), and applicable Polk County standards and requirements.

Plan sheets within the Construction plans shall be prepared for the proposed intersection improvements at a scale of 1" = 40' on 11" x 17" sheets. Other sheets shall use scales appropriate to the information shown on the sheet.

A. Concept Design

1. The Consultant shall prepare a memo to identify safety improvements for the County's consideration. At a minimum, the memo will list an inventory of broken driveways and drainage structures. In addition, the memo will highlight any non-compliant ADA elements with approximate cost to repair.

B. Roadway Design

1. Roadway Plans shall include the following elements as appropriate: Key Sheet, Signature Sheet, Summary of Pay Items Sheet, General Notes, Summary of Quantities Sheet, Typical Sections, Plan Sheets, Back of Sidewalk Profiles, Drainage Structures, Cross Sections, Signing and Pavement markings, and Temporary Traffic Control Concept Sheets.
2. If required, ADA ramps will be modeled at each intersection with W. Daughtery Rd within the project limits. The following locations are anticipated: Multi-Tenant Shopping Center, Angus Drive, Charolais Drive, Hereford Drive, Brahman Drive and Green Rd.
3. The Temporary Traffic Control Concept Sheet shall include a traffic control narrative with typical sections, prescribing the general traffic control practices to be used during construction referencing appropriate FDOT Roadway and Traffic Design Standards and include any applicable restrictions of construction activities. The Contractor will be required to prepare the detailed traffic control plan for review and approval by the Consultant and the County.
4. Conceptual Roll plot plans shall be developed and used as the basis for a field review meeting with County staff.
5. Construction plans shall be submitted to the County for review at 60% and 100% stages of completion.
6. A quantity computation booklet shall be prepared and submitted with the 100% and Final plans.
7. An estimate of probable construction cost shall be prepared and submitted with the 60%, 100% and Final plans.

C. Drainage Design and Permitting

1. Drainage plans shall include the following elements as appropriate: Summary of Drainage Structures, Drainage Structure Sheets and Erosion Control Sheets.
2. Prepare for and conduct a site inspection of the project limits to confirm the existing conditions of the side drains and end treatments, as well as the current drainage patterns within the right-of-way.
3. Drainage design will consist of improvements to the existing ditches along the North side of Daughtery Rd W.
4. Prepare a preliminary Drainage Exhibit based on the best available data. The preliminary drainage exhibit will also delineate the drainage sub-basin areas contributing runoff to each existing culvert.

5. Side drains along the North side of W. Daughtery Rd. will be evaluated and upgraded, as needed, at intersections.
6. Schedule a Pre-Application Meeting with the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD).
7. Prepare for and conduct a Pre-Application Meeting with the SWFWMD and determine if the proposed sidewalk improvements qualify as an exempt activity or will require a permit submittal.
8. Review and comment on the Pre-Application Meeting Minutes prepared by the SWFWMD (draft and final).
9. If requested, prepare and submit the SWFWMD Request for Verification of an Exemption.

D. Signing and Pavement Marking Design

1. The Consultant will prepare signing and pavement marking plans for the sidewalk improvements.
2. An Engineer's Construction Cost Estimate will be prepared at 60%, 100%, and Final plans submittal.

E. Subsurface Utility Engineering

1. Recover existing survey control provided by Polk County.
2. Subsurface Utility Engineering – Quality Level “B” for the length of the project. NV5 will designate underground utilities utilizing industry standard tools - such as electromagnetic radio detection and/or ground penetrating radar - to detect and mark the subsurface utilities and record their approximate horizontal position on the ground with paint marks and pin flags. The designations will be performed in accordance with Subsurface Utility Engineering, Quality Level B accuracy as outlined in the ASCE Standard Guideline for the Collection and Depiction of Existing Subsurface Utility Data, ASCE, Publication No CI/ASCE 38-22. These designations will be field surveyed and added to a Cad drawing to be provided to the county. While NV5 will use every effort to designate all utilities in the project area, per ASCE 38 standards, no matter how comprehensive a Utility Investigation, uncertainty relative to any documented or non-documented subsurface utilities may still exist. This being the case, other utilities may still exist in the project area either in use or abandoned.
3. Subsurface Utility Locating Services – Quality Level “A” for up to 12 locations determined by the EOR. Perform utility test holes for subsurface utility locating as determined from proposed conflicts as found from Quality Level “B” locations, and in accordance with the following requirements:

- Perform utility test holes in compliance with Quality Level “A” of CI/ASCE 38-22, Standard Guideline for the Collection and Depiction of Existing Subsurface Utility Data, Standard 38-22.
- Test holes shall be performed by air vacuum excavation or other non-destructive techniques on existing utilities. One call notification and permit applications shall be made prior to test hole excavation as necessary.
- Test hole openings shall be a minimum 8” x 8” and typically not larger than 14” x 14”. Excavation will proceed to expose the utility in a careful manner with the utmost concern for the safety of personnel, the public and surrounding property. A field test hole form will be completed for each excavation and will contain at a minimum parameters required by the Standard 38-22, which include: depth to the top and bottom of the utility, outside diameter, height of conduits or encasement, utility material, utility direction, pavement type/ thickness and general soil type.
- A permanent marker shall be placed over a reference point on the utility flush with grade. Typically, this reference point is the centerline of pipes or the edge of concrete structures. A minimum of three (3) ties/surface measurements will be taken to the permanent marker. The depth to the reference point on the utility will also be measured plumb to the permanent marker.
- Survey instrumentation will be used to determine the ground elevation (elevation of the permanent marker) of each test hole relative to the project datum and then added to the existing survey map completed by this office.
- The excavation shall be backfilled and compacted utilizing excavated materials. If necessary, pavement restoration will be made with a high epoxy content bituminous cold patch and will be guaranteed for a minimum of one (1) year.

F. Utility Coordination Services

1. Consultant shall perform a Sunshine 811 Design Ticket to identify potential utilities within the project corridor.
2. Consultant shall assess the utility impacts of the proposed alternatives, including preliminary communication with any impacted utilities. If relocations are warranted, Consultant shall work with the affected utility to determine if such relocation is feasible and if the relocation costs are reimbursable. If they are, Consultant shall obtain a preliminary estimate of those costs.
3. The Consultant shall submit Roll Plot Concept, 60% Plans, and 100% Plans to the utility companies for the utility companies to mark the location and or relocations/dispositions of their facilities. The Consultant shall transfer the information onto the construction plans. The utility relocations may be shown on the roadway plan sheets at the Consultants option. If no utility adjustments are

required, a letter of no involvement from the utility companies or the Consultant's Project Manager certifying no conflicts will be required with final submittal. The Final Plans submittal shall include documentation of the disposition of all utilities in the project area.

4. Consultant will provide a letter with final design submittal stating that all known utility conflicts have been cleared. If not cleared, Consultant will list the utilities and reasons why.
5. Consultant will provide with final design submittal, all signed Utility Work Schedule's (UWS), letters of no involvement, letters of no conflict, 811 design ticket, all correspondence with UAO's during design.

G. Wetlands and Species Surveys

1. Environmental Memorandum – Conduct a desktop survey of the corridor for wetlands, surface waters, and threatened and endangered species and prepare an Environmental Memorandum documenting findings. Any services needed beyond the environmental memorandum will be additional services.

H. Post Design Services

1. Project is anticipated to be constructed through the use of County's annual contracts and is not anticipated to be bid. The Consultant shall coordinate with County staff as needed to provide construction documents in the format needed to facilitate the use of the County's annual contracts for construction.
2. Construction Assistance – The Consultant will attend the pre-construction meeting and provide representation throughout the construction phase of the project to review and approve shop drawings submitted by the contractor, provide interpretation of the intended design and guidance for solving situations that arise as a result of unanticipated field conditions. Consultant shall not provide on-site inspection services nor prepare as-built or record drawings. Consultant shall coordinate the submittal of any needed certifications to permitting agencies from information provided by the Contractor.
3. Copies of marked-up plans prepared by the utility agencies/owners shall be submitted to the County with the final plans package.

III. DELIVERABLES

SAFETY MEMORANDUM

ENVIRONMENTAL MEMORANDUM

CONCEPT PLANS

- 1 set of Roll Plot.

PRELIMINARY PLANS (60% and 100%), CADD files & PDF's

- 1 set of construction plans (11" x 17").
- 1 copy of the estimated construction cost.

FINAL CONSTRUCTION PLANS

- 1 set of construction plans (11" x 17"), signed and sealed, CADD files & PDF's
- 1 copy of the estimated construction cost.
- 1 copy of estimated construction duration.
- 1 copy of final report summarizing test pitting investigation to meet ASCE 38-22 standards.

IV. ASSUMPTIONS

- The County intends to construct a new 5-foot sidewalk along the north side of W. Daughtery Road. Should the County decide to relocate the sidewalk, an amendment may be prepared to incorporate the necessary adjustments.
- Improvements to existing curb inlets are not anticipated near the intersection of US 98. If improvements are deemed necessary during the design, the Consultant will request additional funds.
- Fee estimates include Post Design fee. The Consultant will request additional funding if more effort is expected during construction.
- A Request for Verification of an Exemption will be filed with SWFWMD. The ERP exemption is for a minor roadway safety improvement project without wetland impacts for construction of a new sidewalk. There are no adverse impacts to existing swale water flow, storage, conveyance or water quality standards. Exempt activities include: 403.813(1)(h) repair or replacement of existing functional pipes for stormwater conveyance, (j) maintenance of swales, and (t) resurfacing of roads.
- Side street signages are assumed to meet minimum reflectivity signage and will be relocated.
- Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Sheets are not included.

V. CLIENT-FURNISHED INFORMATION AND SERVICES

It is understood that the Consultant will perform services in close coordination and consultation with the County. The County shall provide the Consultant with certain project-related services and technical data:

- A. The County will provide a Project Manager in charge of contract administration and management services for all County work associated with the design and to serve as liaison with the Consultant's Project Manager.
- B. The County will issue the written Notice to Proceed (NTP) to the Consultant.
- C. The County will provide topographic survey necessary to design the project.

- D. The County will provide geotechnical engineering services* in support of the design.
- E. The County will provide any needed CCTV inspection of the existing drainage structures.
- F. The County will provide the roadway soil survey sheet, if needed. *

The Consultant may reasonably rely upon the accuracy and completeness of County-furnished information in connection with the performance of services under this agreement.

*NV5, Inc. is equipped to provide such services, if needed. This task is considered an optional service.

VI. PROJECT SCHEDULE

The Consultant shall complete design services within eight (8) months (246 calendar days) from receiving the signed Authorization and Notice to Proceed. If the County requests that work under this Authorization to be stopped, the schedule is subject to re-negotiation when written authorization to restart is received.

A design production schedule shall be prepared and kept up to date throughout the design process. The milestone schedule, based on receipt of review comments within two weeks after submitting the deliverables, is:

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Conceptual Plan Meeting | 3 weeks after receipt of topographic survey |
| 60% Deliverables | 6 weeks after acceptance of Conceptual Plan (2 weeks for County review) |
| 100% Deliverables | 4 Weeks after receipt of 60% comments (2 weeks for County review) |
| Final Deliverables | 3 Weeks after receipt of 100% comments (2 weeks for County review) |

VII. COMPENSATION

The Consultant will perform the above Scope of Services on an hourly rate fee basis up to the Not to Exceed Limit of the Authorization, \$189,701.43. In the event the Scope of Services requires modifications at the request of the County, or unforeseen circumstances require additional work, an amendment may be prepared to make necessary adjustments. The fee schedule for this Scope of Services is shown in the attached Exhibit B. The Consultant shall submit invoices not more than monthly.

The work and deliverables identified shall be timely delivered within contract amount. Failure to complete the scope of work within time and budget each constitutes a breach of contract. Under no circumstances will the County pay additional monies for the accomplishment of the identified work. The County will consider an amendment to the Authorization in the event circumstances beyond the control of the Consultant delay the tender of deliverables. Additional money for additional work may be provided by a Board of County Commissioners approved amendment of the AUTHORIZATION. Work done in excess of the current Authorization amount will not be compensated.

**EXHIBIT B
FEE SCHEDULE
W. DAUGHTERY RD. SIDEWALK**

ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT AND COST - PRIME CONSULTANT

Name of Project: W Daughtery Rd. Sidewalk Design
 County: Polk
 FPN: CSA #22-093-03
 FAP No.: N/A

Consultant Name: NV5, Inc.
 Consultant No.: TBD
 Date: 1/29/2026
 Estimator: LCK

| Staff Classification | Total Staff Hours From Firm* | Project Manager 1 | Project Manager 3 | Engineer 2 | Senior Utility Coordinator | Engineer Intern | Engineer 1 | Senior Engineering Technician | Engineering Aide | Project Coordinator | Secretary/Clerical | Senior Surveyor & Mapper | Senior Survey Technician | SH By Activity | Salary Cost By Activity | Average Rate Per Task |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| 3. Project General and Project Common Tasks | 128 | 51 | 6 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 128 | \$25,448 | \$198.82 |
| 4. Roadway Analysis | 335 | 17 | 17 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 | \$53,128 | \$158.59 |
| 5. Roadway Plans | 290 | 15 | 15 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 | \$39,705 | \$136.92 |
| 6a. Drainage Analysis | 63 | 13 | 3 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | \$11,268 | \$178.70 |
| 6b. Drainage Plans | 39 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | \$5,359 | \$137.41 |
| 7. Utilities | 86 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | \$10,994 | \$127.83 |
| 8. Environmental Permits and Env. Clearances | 11 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | \$1,834 | \$166.71 |
| 19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis | 17 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | \$2,716 | \$159.76 |
| 20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans | 30 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | \$4,203 | \$140.10 |
| 27. Survey (Field & Office Support) | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | \$6,280 | \$130.83 |
| Total Staff Hours | 1,047 | 103 | 50 | 445 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 38 | 1,047 | \$180,927.37 | \$183.70 |
| Total Staff Cost | \$28,687.36 | \$12,652.00 | \$79,053.15 | \$7,145.44 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$28,060.20 | \$1,025.34 | \$2,460.50 | \$3,919.38 | \$246.05 | \$100.61 | \$180,927.37 | \$180,927.37 | \$180,927.37 | \$183.70 |

Notes:
 1. This sheet to be used by Prime Consultant to calculate the Grand Total fee.
 2. Manually enter fee from each subconsultant. Unused subconsultant rows may be hidden.

| Category | Amount | Check # |
|--|--------|---------|
| OVERHEAD: | \$0.00 | |
| OPERATING MARGIN: | 0% | |
| FCCM (Facilities Capital Cost Money): | 0.00% | |
| EXPENSES: | 2.50% | |
| Survey (Field - if by Prime) crew days @ \$ 2,039.70 / day | 10 | |
| SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE: | | |
| Subconsultant: IESA | | |
| SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE: | | |
| Subconsultant: N/A | | |
| SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE: | | |
| Optional Services | | |
| GRAND TOTAL ESTIMATED FEE: | | |



Proposed Staff
NV5, Inc.
 Polk County RFP 22-242

Prime: NV5, Inc.

(NV5 Project No.: 22012.4M)

| FDOT Standard Job Classifications | Personnel | Current Hourly Rate | % Employee U | Yrs. of Exp. – Post Reg. (If Appl.) | License # | Date Issued | Loaded Rates |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------|
| Senior Surveyor & Mapper | Aleksandar Grkovic | \$79.05 | 100% | DE746(2008) DC905295(2009) MD21757 (2014) | LS7099 | 11/21/16 | \$246.05 |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$79.05 | | | |
| Project Manager | Christopher Laberge | \$52.86 | 100% | | LS6014 | 01/31/00 | \$164.53 |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$52.86 | | | |
| Senior Survey Technician | Ryan Leath | \$32.29 | 100% | | | | \$100.51 |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$32.29 | | | |
| Secretary/Clerical | Sharon Adkins | \$24.70 | 100% | | | | \$76.88 |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$24.70 | | | |
| Party Chief | Robert Hancock | \$26.22 | 100% | | | | \$81.61 |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$26.22 | | | |
| Instrument Man | Steeve Vernet | \$21.00 | 50% | | | | \$64.77 |
| | Jaime Gutierrez | \$20.62 | 50% | | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$20.81 | | | |
| Rodman | Zachary Smith | \$18.50 | 100% | | | | \$57.58 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$18.50 | | | |
| Lead Utility Locator | Ryan Newell | \$22.95 | 100% | | | | \$71.43 |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$22.95 | | | |
| SUE Technician 2 | Kevin Banaghan | \$19.00 | 100% | | | | \$59.14 |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$19.00 | | | |
| SUE Technician 1 | Brian Crownover | \$16.97 | 100% | | | | \$52.82 |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | | \$16.97 | | | |

6200 Lee Vista Blvd., Suite 400 | Orlando, FL 32822 | www.NV5.com | Office 407.896.3317 | Fax 407.896.9167

TESTING, INSPECTION & CONSULTING - INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDINGS & PROGRAM MANAGEMENT - ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES - GEOSPATIAL TECHNOLOGY - UTILITY SERVICES



Proposed Staff

NV5, Inc.
Polk County RFP 22-242

| FDOT Standard Job Classifications | Position | | Average Rates | | Loaded Rates |
|--|--------------------------|---------|---------------|--|-----------------|
| Two Person Crew | SUR Party Chief | \$26.22 | | | \$146.39 |
| | SUR Survey Technician 3 | \$20.81 | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | \$47.03 | | |
| Three Person Crew | SUR Party Chief | \$26.22 | | | \$203.97 |
| | SUR Survey Technician 3 | \$20.81 | | | |
| | SUR Survey Technician 2 | \$18.50 | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | \$65.53 | | |
| Four Person Crew | SUR Party Chief | \$26.22 | | | \$261.55 |
| | SUR Survey Technician 3 | \$20.81 | | | |
| | SUR Survey Technician 2 | \$18.50 | | | |
| | SUR Survey Technician 2 | \$18.50 | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | \$84.03 | | |
| Five Person Crew | SUR Party Chief | \$26.22 | | | \$326.32 |
| | SUR Survey Technician 3 | \$20.81 | | | |
| | SUR Survey Technician 3 | \$20.81 | | | |
| | SUR Survey Technician 2 | \$18.50 | | | |
| | SUR Survey Technician 2 | \$18.50 | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | \$104.84 | | |
| Two Person SUE Designating Crew | SUR SUE Technician 3 | \$22.95 | | | \$130.57 |
| | SUR SUE Technician 2 | \$19.00 | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | \$41.95 | | |
| Three Person SUE Designating Crew | SUR SUE Technician 3 | \$22.95 | | | \$183.39 |
| | SUR SUE Technician 2 | \$19.00 | | | |
| | SUR SUE Technician 1 | \$16.97 | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | \$58.92 | | |
| Two Person SUE Locating Crew | SUR SUE Technician 3 | \$22.95 | | | \$130.57 |
| | SUR SUE Technician 2 | \$19.00 | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | \$41.95 | | |
| Three Person SUE Locating Crew | SUR SUE Technician 3 | \$22.95 | | | \$183.39 |
| | SUR SUE Technician 2 | \$19.00 | | | |
| | SUR SUE Technician 1 | \$16.97 | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | \$58.92 | | |
| Four Person SUE Locating Crew | SUR SUE Technician 3 | \$22.95 | | | \$242.53 |
| | SUR SUE Technician 2 | \$19.00 | | | |
| | SUR SUE Technician 2 | \$19.00 | | | |
| | SUR SUE Technician 1 | \$16.97 | | | |
| | AVERAGE HOURLY RATE = \$ | | \$77.92 | | |

| | |
|----------------|------------------------|
| 1.0000 | Base |
| 1.7066 | Overhead |
| 0.40599 | x Operating Margin 15% |
| 3.11259 | Multiplier |

6200 Lee Vista Blvd., Suite 400 | Orlando, FL 32822 | www.NV5.com | Office 407.896.3317 | Fax 407.896.9167

TESTING, INSPECTION & CONSULTING - INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDINGS & PROGRAM MANAGEMENT - ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES - GEOSPATIAL TECHNOLOGY - UTILITY SERVICES

Fran McAskill
Director
Procurement Division



330 West Church Street
P.O. Box 9005, Drawer AS05
Bartow, Florida 33831-9005
Phone: (863) 534-6757
Fax: (863) 534-6789
www.polk-county.net

EXHIBIT C

Board of County Commissioners

REIMBURSABLE COST SCHEDULE

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Subcontractor Services | Actual Costs |
| 2. Travel & Mileage Expenses | In accordance with Chapter 112.061, F.S.; and further defined in the Polk County Employee Handbook for pre-approved out-of-county travel (excluding travel from home offices located outside of Polk County to the Polk County line). |
| 3. Pre-approved Equipment (includes purchase and rental of equipment used in project) | Actual Costs |

