

John Paris  
Wilma Paris  
6595 Mt. Pisgah Rd.  
Ft. Meade, FL 33841  
25-32-35-000000-031010

Mary Morgan  
6505 Mt. Pisgah Rd.  
Ft. Meade, FL 33841  
25-32-35-000000-031020

### **DEMONSTRATION OF NEED**

1. Could the proposed amendment promote substantial amounts of low-density, low intensity, or single use development in excess of demonstrated need?

**This amendment is not for development. It is to return the rightful zoning for the property considering its use.**

2. Will passage of the proposed amendment allow a significant amount of urban development to occur in rural areas?

**This amendment will not allow for urban development. By changing the zoning to ARR only two homesites will be added on the parcel.**

3. Does the proposed amendment create or encourage urban development in radial, strip, isolated, or ribbon patterns emanating from existing urban development?

**The amendment does not allow for urban development. By changing the zoning to ARR only two homesites will be added on the parcel.**

4. Does the proposed amendment fail to adequately protect adjacent agriculture areas?

**The amendment eliminates phosphate mining and encourages agricultural production.**

5. Could the proposed amendment fail to maximize existing public facilities and services?

**The amendment will not affect existing public facilities and services because it is only allowing for a single-family household.**

6. Could the proposed amendment fail to minimize the need for future public facilities and services?

**No, the property will not have water or sewer from a public source.**

7. Will the proposed amendment allow development patterns that will disproportionately increase the cost of providing public facilities and services?

**There will be no development patterns generated from this amendment.**

8. Does the proposed amendment fail to provide clear separation between urban and rural uses?

**This amendment is only related to rural uses. The closest urban center is 3 miles away.**

9. Will the proposed amendment discourage infill development or redevelopment of existing neighborhoods?

**No, there will be no effect on development or redevelopment of existing neighborhoods. It is a rural ARR zoning that does not allow for conventional neighborhoods.**

10. Does the proposed amendment fail to encourage an attractive and functional mixture of land uses?

**This amendment will help create a more attractive land use than mining.**

11. Could the proposed amendment result in poor accessibility among linked or related land uses?

**No, the amendment does not affect accessibility among linked or related land uses.**

12. As a result of approval of this amendment, how much open space will be lost?

**Approximately 4,000 square feet**

Respectfully submitted by:

Robert Paul Paris  
Sarina U. Paris  
1244 Kelly Ct.  
Wauchula, FL 33873  
863-781-0795